



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/998,587	11/16/2001	Craig Ullman	4247.43	7575		
20686 7	03/06/2003			·		
DORSEY & WHITNEY, LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 370 SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 4700 DENVER, CO 80202-5647			EXAMINER			
			VU, VIET DUY			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	2154	13		
			DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003	(/		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/998,587

Ullman et al

Examiner Viet Vu

Art Unit **2154** 



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	et with th	e correspondence address		
Period ·	for Reply					
THE	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
- If tha   - If NO   - Feilure - Any re	g date of this communication.  period for raply specified above is lass than thirty (30) days, a raply withi  period for raply is specified above, the meximum stetutory period will app  to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, caus  ply received by the Office later than three months efter the mailing date  ply patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	oly and will expire SIX ( se the epplication to be	(6) MONTHS come ABANI	from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status 1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 13, 2</u>	2002				
2a) □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) X This act	tion is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-35, 39-41, 43, 61-95, 100-104, 110,	111, and 115-1	70	is/are pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideratio		
5) 💢	Claim(s) <u>111</u>	· <u> </u>		is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-35, 39-41, 43, 61-95, 100-104, 110,	and 115-170		is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claims	a	re subjec	t to restriction and/or election requiremen		
	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	re a accepte	d or b	objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	lrawing(s) be held	l in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	:aD ap	pproved b disapproved by the Examine		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office acti	on.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35	U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority dapplication from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).	•		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th					
14)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
	The translation of the foreign language provisiona					
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	5 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su-	man, /DTC 4	12) Paras Na/a)		
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Info		13) Peper No(s)		
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
				·		

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Art Rejections:

- 1. The text of 35 USC 102(e) and 103(a) not cited here can be found in the previous office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-14, 16-19, 21-35, 39-40, 43, 61, 64-74, 76-79, 8195, 100-101, 103-104 and 115-140 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.
  § 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by <u>Schein</u> et al, U.S. pat.
  No. 6,002,394.

Per claims 1, 4-5, 11-12, 18 and 21-22, <u>Schein</u> discloses a system and method for providing broadcast programming, program schedule and other related data to users comprising:

- a) a first transmitter comprising one of satellite, cable head end, radio transmitter, etc., for transmitting the program to a user site (see col 6, lines 25-36),
- b) a second transmitter comprising one of satellite, cable, or database server for transmitting program schedule, related addresses (links) and data directly to the user site (<u>col 5</u>, <u>lines</u> 45-65 and col 12, lines 61-64),
- c) an Internet database for providing program schedule data and/or addresses (links) for identifying online information sources (see col 13, lines 58-66 and col 18, lines 20-43),

- d) a communication means in response to a user selection for automatically establishing a communication link to a network database for obtaining program schedule and/or additional data from the Internet that are related to the currently tuned program (col 15, lines 24-61),
- e) a display at the user site for displaying program schedule and additional information received from the online service providers, e.g., advertisement, information for particular program or artist, and on-line ordering, (see col 4, lines 23-32 and col 20, lines 1-63).

Per claims 6-10, it is noted that the Internet related data could be transmitted before, after or during the program broadcast. Additionally, program schedule can be programmed for delivery at predetermined times (see col 15, lines 58-67).

Per claims 14 and 19, <u>Schein</u> also teaches a memory for storing program schedule data at the user site (<u>see col 14</u>, <u>lines 18-32</u>), and a recording means for automatically recording select programs at the user site (see col 17, lines 23-34).

Per claims 16-19, 23-35, 39-40 and 43, it is noted that <u>Schein's</u> teachings are applicable to all conventional broadcast programming.

Claims 61, 64-74, 76-79, 81-95, 100-101 and 103-104 are corresponding method claims that are also anticipated by <u>Schein</u>'s teachings.

Per claim 115, <u>Schein</u> teaches providing timing data (time slots) within the program schedule such that each program and other related data can be displayed/viewed in a predetermined timing order (see col 9, lines 29-37).

Per claims 116-117, <u>Schein</u> teaches providing an address (URL) within the program schedule for retrieving related data on the Internet (see col 14, lines 1-17).

Claims 117-129 are similar in scope as that of claims 16-19, 23-35 and 39-40.

Claims 130-140 are corresponding method claims that are also anticipated by Schein's teachings.

3. Claims 2-3, 15, 20, 41, 36-37, 75, 80, 102, 110 and 141-170 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schein.

Per claims 2-3 and 62-63, <u>Schein's</u> teachings are still applied as discussed in item 2 above. <u>Schein</u> does not teach implementing the first and second transmitters at a web-hosting site.

It is noted that <u>Schein</u>'s first and second transmitters can be implemented anywhere including at a web-hosting site. It would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the first and second transmitters at a web-hosting site because it would have enabled coordinating the broadcast programming and online information sources more easily.

Per claims 15, 20, 41, 75, 80 and 102, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize any type of conventional memory and recording means in practicing Schein's invention.

Per claims 110, 141 and 156, Schein further teaches using broadcast signal to transmit program schedule and other Internet data to user site (see col 5, lines 59-65). It would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize any known encoder/decoder to embedding and extract data including addresses from the broadcast signal.

Claims 142-155 and 157-170 include limitations that are similar to that of claims 115-129 and hence are rejected for the same rationale set forth above for claims 115-129.

## Allowable Subject Matter:

4. Claim 111 is allowed over prior art of record.

### Response to Amendment:

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 12/13/02 with respect to claims 1-35, 39-41, 43, 61-95, 100-104, 110, 115-170 are moot in view of new grounds of rejection set forth above.

It should be noted that the applied patent (6,002,394) is entitled to a benefit of prior filing date from its parent application serial no. 08/537,650 filed 10/2/1995 because at least one claim (e.g., claim 1) in the patent is found enabling by the specification of the parent application. Particularly, the automatic delivery of program schedule to a user site is disclosed in pages 3-5 of the parent case. Additionally, allowing the user to search and retrieve data related to a select broadcast program from the Internet is found in page 14 of the parent case.

#### Conclusion:

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is (703) 305-9597. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An, can be reached on (703) 305-9678.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2154 2/21/03